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## Reading materials

Book
Durbin, R., Eddy, S., Krogh, A., and Mitchison, G. (1998). Biological Sequence Analysis. Cambridge University Press. Chapter 5, 6 (Errata page: http://selab.janelia.org/cupbook errata.html)

## Pair HMM for local alignment



## Multiple Alignment

- What can one learn from a multiple alignment?
- How can a multiple alignment be used?
- How is a good multiple alignment obtained?
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..YQEANKIQ DDLLDMIDRE ADTSDSFEAF LLIHSIAGGT
..YCQGQEVQ EKIMDIIIRE AENTNNLDGI LFTHSVSGGT
..YYTTEKMS .EIEEIIDRE VEHCDSLEGF FFCHSICGGT
..YDIGTRNQ DDILNKIDKE IDSTDNFEGF QLLHSVAGGT
..YKYGTEEE ETLLNLIDRE VDKCDNLSNF QLFHSVAGGT
..MEYGDKYI DSITETVREQ VERCDSIQSF LIMHSLSGGT
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## What can one learn from a multiple alignment?

- Some regions tend to be more highly conserved than others
- Gaps are often clustered
- May be conservation of types of residues (e.g. hydrophilic/hydrophobic) even if the residues themselves are variable
- Can plot conservation to get an overview of how it varies


## Logo of a section of the tubulin protein family



# How can a multiple alignment be used? 

- Insights into protein structure/function
- Highly conserved positions/regions mostly likely required for function
- Indels and hydrophilic regions usually on surface
- Better, more sensitive searches
- Uses more information about protein's features to identify homologs
- Position-specific scoring function

Table 2 - The log odds matrix for BLosum 62

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr} 
& \mathbf{A} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{~F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~K} & \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{~F} & \mathrm{~S} & \mathrm{~T} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{~W} & \mathrm{Y} \\
\mathrm{~A} & 4 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -2 \\
\mathrm{C} & & 9 & -3 & -4 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 & -2 \\
& \mathrm{D} & & 6 & 2 & -3 & -1 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -4 & -3 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & -1 & -3 & -4 & -3
\end{array} \\
& \text { E } \\
& \text { F } \\
& \begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}
-1 & -1 & -3 & -1 & -4 & -3 & 1 & -1 \\
-2 & 0 & -3 & 1 & -3 & -2 & 0 & -1
\end{array} \\
& \text { G } \\
& \text { H } \\
& \text { I } \\
& \begin{array}{rrrrrr}
-1 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\
-2 & -4 & -2 & -4 & -3 & 0 \\
8 & -3 & -1 & -3 & -2 & 1 \\
& 4 & -3 & 2 & 1 & -3 \\
\mathrm{~K} & & 5 & -2 & -1 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \text { L } \\
& \text { P }
\end{aligned}
$$



FTSZ_AQUAE/8-201
Q19490_CAEEL/49-246
..PEVGEEAA LEDIDKIKEI LRDT...DMV FISAGLGGGT GTGAAPVIAK
..YTIGKELI DVVMDRVRRL TERCQSLQGF LIFHSFGGGT GSGFTSLVME

*     * 


## Scoring multiple alignments

- Common to use "sum of pairs" using the standard pairwise scoring
- An alignment of residue X in the query with the position $Y$ of the alignment that contains the set $Y_{i}$ of residues gets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Score }(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=\sum_{i} \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i} \ln \left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \quad=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \ln \left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X})\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sum-of-Pairs scoring (cont)

- $\operatorname{Score}(X, Y)=\sum_{i} \ln \left[P\left(X \mid Y_{i}\right) / P(X)\right]$
we can pre-compute the score for any $X$
- $\quad$ "Profile" for a multiple alignment
- Important Point: highly variable position tend toward 0 for all scores, while highly conserved positions maintain the $s(X, Y)$ scores, increasing their contribution to the Score


## Profile analysis: Detection of distantly related proteins

(amino acid/sequence comparison/protein structure/globin structure/immunoglobulin structure)

Michael Gribskov*, Andrew D. McLachlan ${ }^{\dagger}$, And David Eisenberg*

| POS | PROBE |  |  |  | CONSENSUS |  | C | D | E | F | G | 日 | I | PROFILE |  |  | N | P | Q | R | 5 | T | V | W | $Y$ | +/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K | L | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | E | G | V | 4 | V | 3 | -2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 9 |
| 2 | L | L | 5 | P | L | 2 | -2 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 6 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 9 |
| 3 | V | V | V | $\gamma$ | V | 2 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | -3 | 11 | -2 | 8 | 6 | -2 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | -9 | -1 | 9 |
| 4 | K | E | $A$ | ? | A | 6 | -2 | 5 | 6 | -5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | -6 | -4 | 9 |
| 5 | A | P | L | P | P | 6 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | -5 | -4 | 9 |
| 6 | G | G | G |  | G | 7 | 1 | 7 | 5 | -6 | 15 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -4 | -3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | -3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | -11 | -7 | 9 |
| 7 | S | S | 0 |  | D | 4 | -1 | 7 | 7 | -6 | 7 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | -1 | -6 | -5 | 9 |
| 8 | S | 5 | T | P | S | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | -4 | 4 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 9 |
| 9 | V | L | V | A | V | 5 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | -2 | 7 | -2 | 7 | 6 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 2 | 10 | -5 | -1 | 9 |
| 10 | K | R | R |  | R | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -5 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 8 | -3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 1 | -2 | 7 | -5 | 9 |
| 11 | M | L | I | I | I | 0 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 7 | -3 | -3 | 11 | -1 | 11 | 10 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 9 | -3 | 1 | 9 |
| 12 | S | 5 | T | S | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | -3 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 9 |
| 13 | C | C | C | C | C | 3 | 15 | -5 | -5 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 3 | -5 | -8 | -6 | -3 | 1 | -6 | -3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | $-13$ | 10 | 9 |
| 14 | K | S | Q | R | K | 1 | -2 | 3 | 3 | -6 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 7 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 2 | -5 | 9 |
| 15 | A | A | G | S | A | 10 | 3 | 4 | 3 | -5 | 8 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 7 | 4 | 2 | -6 | -4 | 9 |
| 16 | T | S | D | S | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | -5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | -3 | -4 | 9 |
| 17 | G | G | S | 2 | G | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | -6 | 9 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | -6 | -6 | 9 |
| 18 | $Y$ | F | L |  | F | -1 | 2 | -4 | -3 | 9 | -3 | 0 | 4 | -3 | 6 | 3 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| 19 | T | T | R | , | T | 1 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 9 |
| 20 | F | F | , | L | F | -2 | -3 | -6 | -4 | 10 | -4 | -1 | 6 | -4 | 9 | 6 | -3 | -4 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| 21 | S | S | . | D | S | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | -4 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | -1 | -2 | -3 | 4 |
| 22 | S | . | . |  | S | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 4 |
| 23 | . | . | . |  | G | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 |
| 24 | . | + | . | ) | D | 1 | -1 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 4 |
| 25 | , | * | - |  | G | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 |
| 26 | , | A | G | * | A | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | -4 | 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | -5 | -3 | 4 |
| 27 | Y | N | Y |  | Y | 0 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 4 | -3 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| 28 | E | D | D | Y | D | 2 | -2 | 9 | 8 | -3 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 5 | -1 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -6 | 0 | 9 |
| 29 | L | M | A | L | L | 3 | -5 | -3 | -1 | 6 | -1 | -2 | 6 | -1 | 10 | 10 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 9 |
| 30 | Y | N | A |  | N | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 9 |
| - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | S | G | N | S | S | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | -4 | 7 | 0 | -2 | 2 | -4 | -3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 9 |
| 49 | S | S | N | $Y$ | S | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 9 |

## How is a good multiple alignment obtained?

- Can extend dynamic programming (DP) method (Smith-Waterman or NeedlemanWunch) to $\mathrm{N}>2$ sequences


$$
\max \left\{\begin{array}{l:l:l}
X & X & - \\
Y & --Y
\end{array}\right.
$$



The seven neighboring cells are the seven possible paths for the optimal alignment


## DP on multiple sequences

- Can extend standard DP to N sequences by using N -dimensional matrix, filling in optimal scores for each element using a defined scoring system, such as sum-ofpairs
- Problem: complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ for N sequences of length $L$


## Impact of Computational Complexity

- Suppose your algorithm can run on $\mathrm{N}=10$ sequences of length $L=1000$.
- You then get 1000 times as much of the limiting resource.
- How many sequences can you now run on, as a function of the complexity $\mathrm{O}(.$.$) of that limiting$ resource?

Initially $\mathrm{N}=10$ and
L=1000

Then increase limiting resource by 1000 -fold: $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow 10000$

Assuming overhead costs and all other terms are negligible.

| Algorithm <br> Complexity | New problem <br> size |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$ | 10,000 |
| $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}$ logN $)$ | $\sim 40,000$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 2\right)$ | $10^{\wedge} 8$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 3\right)$ | $10^{\wedge} 12$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | $10^{\wedge} 30000$ |

Making multiple sequence alignment more efficient. MSA program uses pair-wise alignments to define "search space" in which to apply DP to find optimal alignment. Doesn't have to fill in entire N-dim matrix, only those sections that can contribute to the optimal alignment. Uses branch-and-bound to determine the alignment space to be considered.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
Vol. 86, pp. 4412-4415, June 1989
Biochemistry

## A tool for multiple sequence alignment

 (proteins/structure/evolution/dynamic programming)David J. Lipman* ${ }^{*}$, Stephen F. Altschul* ${ }^{*}$, and John D. Kececioglu ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Determining and displaying sub-optimal alignments. Can be used to set boundaries for MSA

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\underset{\operatorname{Forward}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})+}{\operatorname{Backward}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})}
\end{array}
$$

Can show all cells within some \% of optimum score. Can be used to define boundaries for multi-sequence optimization.

Zuker, M (1991) JMB 221:403-420



## How is a good multiple alignment obtained?

- Can extend standard dynamic programming (DP) method (Smith-Waterman or Needleman-Wunch) to $\mathrm{N}>2$ sequences
- O(LN) limits applicability
- Need good heuristic that returns nearoptimal alignments in reasonable time/space


## "Progressive Alignment"

- Always do pairwise alignments
- Use DP to get optimal alignment of pairs
- Once a pair is aligned, that alignment is fixed in subsequent steps
- Some programs allow for the revising of previous steps, optimization of total score


## CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice

Julie D.Thornpson, Desmond G.Higgins* and Toby J.Gibson*
European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Postfach 102209, Meyerhofstrasse 1, D-69012 Heidelberg, Germany


## Overview of ClustalW:

1.Get pairwise "distances" 2.Determine tree 3. Follow order of tree to do pairwise alignments

After each step the alignment is fixed. This generates a complete multiple alignment of the sequences using optimal pairwise alignments (with DP) at each step.

Scoring is SoP with heuristic Modifications (next slide).

Hbb_Human Hbb_Horse Hba_Human Hba_Horse

| Pairwise alignment: | Hba_Horse <br> Myg_Phyca <br> Calculate distance matrix |
| :--- | :--- |
| GlbS_Petma <br> Lgb2_Luplu |  |

## Rooted NJ tree (guide tree)

 Rooted NJ tree (guide trand sequence weights





 Bx



## Sequence weighting:

Based on shared tree lengths, avoids problems from overly biased samples


## Multiple Alignment Lecture 2

Improved Progressive Alignments

- Faster
- More accurate
- Consistency objective

Alternative scoring systems
Position-specific scoring (Profiles)
Probabilistic modeling: Profile-HMMs

## More recent improved methods

## Faster and/or more accurate

- See recent reviews by:
- Edgar and Batzoglou, Current Opin. Struct. Biol. (2006) 16:368-373
- Notredame, PLoS Comp Biol. (2007) 3:e123
- FFT for speed; combine local and global alignments; iterative refinements; use additional types of information (such as structure) if available; maximize consistency with pairwise alignments

MAFFT - multiple alignment using Fast Fourier Transform, Katoh et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 30:3059-3066 (2002)

- Recode aa sequence into lists of properties (e.g. volume, polarity)
- Considering all possible shifts of ungapped sequences, identify the shifts with high similarity
- Can be computed in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{L} / n \mathrm{~L})$ time instead of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)$

- Gives locally aligned, ungapped segments
- Can be "stitched" together with DP to give global alignment


B


- The order of pairwise alignments is still based on a guide tree
- The whole process can be iterated to refine the alignment
-At each iteration the alignment from the previous iteration is used for the guide tree, and the overall alignment can be broken into pieces that are optimized separately


## MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity, RC Edgar, BMC Bioinformatics 5:113



## An alternative scoring system

 (objective function)- Maximize consistency in multiple alignment with each of the optimal pairwise alignments
- Basic idea: given three sequences $A, B, C$

Pairwise alignments of $A: B$ and $B: C$ infers an alignment of $A: C$
How well does that match the pairwise alignment of $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{C}$ ?
Goal: Find most consistent multiple alignment.

## ProbCons: Probabilistic consistency-based multiple sequence alignment

Chuong B. Do, Mahathi S.P. Mahabhashyam, Michael Brudno and Serafim Batzoglou
Genome Res. 2005 15: 330-340


Figure 1. Basic pair-HMM for sequence alignment between two sequences, $x$ and $y$. State $M$ emits two letters, one from each sequence, and corresponds to the two letters being aligned together. State $I_{x}$ emits a letter in sequence $x$ that is aligned to a gap, and similarly state $I_{y}$ emits a letter in sequence $y$ that is aligned to a gap. Finding the most likely alignment according to this model by using the Viterbi algorithm corresponds to applying Needleman- IV unsch with appropriate parameters. The logarithm of the emission probability function $p(. .$.$) at M$ corresponds to a substitution scoring matrix, while affine gap penalty parameters can be derived from the transition probabilities $\delta$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ (Durbin et al. 1998).

## ProbsCon details:

1. Pairwise alignment probabilities for all pairs of sequences; forward-backward using a similarity matrix (BLOSSUM62)
2. Find maximum expected accuracy alignment; i.e. alignment with maximum number of expected correct aligned pairs
3. Probabilistic consistency transform; find highest accuracy alignment of $\mathrm{X}: \mathrm{Y}$ by $\sum_{z} \sum_{k} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}: \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$
4. Guide tree determination based on expected accuracy
5. Progressive alignment based on expected accuracy

Refinement can be done at the end if desired

## Revisit the scoring system issue

- Sum-of-Pairs (SoP) assumes a single similarity matrix is appropriate for all positions - the same as for pair-wise alignments
- Want to have a position specific scoring matrix (PSSM) - Profiles implement this using SoP
- HMM-profiles provide probabilistic scoring that is position specific


## Profile analysis: Detection of distantly related proteins

(amino acid/sequence comparison/protein structure/globin structure/immunoglobulin structure)

Michael Gribskov*, Andrew D. McLachlan ${ }^{\dagger}$, And David Eisenberg*

| POS | PROBE |  |  |  | CONSENSUS |  | C | D | E | F | G | 日 | I | PROFILE |  |  | N | P | Q | R | 5 | T | V | W | $Y$ | +/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K | L | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | E | G | V | 4 | V | 3 | -2 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | -6 | -2 | 9 |
| 2 | L | L | 5 | P | L | 2 | -2 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | -1 | 6 | 5 | -1 | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | -1 | 9 |
| 3 | V | V | V | $\gamma$ | V | 2 | 2 | -2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | -3 | 11 | -2 | 8 | 6 | -2 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | -9 | -1 | 9 |
| 4 | K | E | $A$ | ? | A | 6 | -2 | 5 | 6 | -5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | -2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | -6 | -4 | 9 |
| 5 | A | P | L | P | P | 6 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | -5 | -4 | 9 |
| 6 | G | G | G |  | G | 7 | 1 | 7 | 5 | -6 | 15 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -4 | -3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | -3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | -11 | -7 | 9 |
| 7 | S | S | 0 |  | D | 4 | -1 | 7 | 7 | -6 | 7 | 2 | -2 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | -1 | -6 | -5 | 9 |
| 8 | S | 5 | T | P | S | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | -4 | 4 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 9 |
| 9 | V | L | V | A | V | 5 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | -2 | 7 | -2 | 7 | 6 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 2 | 10 | -5 | -1 | 9 |
| 10 | K | R | R |  | R | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -5 | 0 | 2 | -2 | 8 | -3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 1 | -2 | 7 | -5 | 9 |
| 11 | M | L | I | I | I | 0 | -2 | -3 | -2 | 7 | -3 | -3 | 11 | -1 | 11 | 10 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 9 | -3 | 1 | 9 |
| 12 | S | 5 | T | S | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | -3 | 5 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | -4 | 9 |
| 13 | C | C | C | C | C | 3 | 15 | -5 | -5 | -1 | 2 | -1 | 3 | -5 | -8 | -6 | -3 | 1 | -6 | -3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | $-13$ | 10 | 9 |
| 14 | K | S | Q | R | K | 1 | -2 | 3 | 3 | -6 | 1 | 3 | -2 | 7 | -3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 2 | -5 | 9 |
| 15 | A | A | G | S | A | 10 | 3 | 4 | 3 | -5 | 8 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | -2 | 7 | 4 | 2 | -6 | -4 | 9 |
| 16 | T | S | D | S | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | -5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | -3 | -4 | 9 |
| 17 | G | G | S | 2 | G | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | -6 | 9 | 1 | -2 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | -6 | -6 | 9 |
| 18 | $Y$ | F | L |  | F | -1 | 2 | -4 | -3 | 9 | -3 | 0 | 4 | -3 | 6 | 3 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -3 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| 19 | T | T | R | , | T | 1 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 9 |
| 20 | F | F | , | L | F | -2 | -3 | -6 | -4 | 10 | -4 | -1 | 6 | -4 | 9 | 6 | -3 | -4 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| 21 | S | S | . | D | S | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | -4 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 2 | -3 | -2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | -1 | -2 | -3 | 4 |
| 22 | S | . | . |  | S | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | -2 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -2 | 4 |
| 23 | . | . | . |  | G | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 |
| 24 | . | + | . | ) | D | 1 | -1 | 4 | 3 | -2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 4 |
| 25 | , | * | - |  | G | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | -2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 4 |
| 26 | , | A | G | * | A | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | -4 | 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -2 | -1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | -1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | -5 | -3 | 4 |
| 27 | Y | N | Y |  | Y | 0 | 5 | 0 | -1 | 5 | -1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 4 | -3 | -2 | -2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
| 28 | E | D | D | Y | D | 2 | -2 | 9 | 8 | -3 | 3 | 4 | -1 | 1 | -3 | -2 | 5 | -1 | 4 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -6 | 0 | 9 |
| 29 | L | M | A | L | L | 3 | -5 | -3 | -1 | 6 | -1 | -2 | 6 | -1 | 10 | 10 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 6 | -1 | 0 | 9 |
| 30 | Y | N | A |  | N | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 8 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 2 | 9 |
| - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | S | G | N | S | S | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | -4 | 7 | 0 | -2 | 2 | -4 | -3 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | -2 | -4 | 9 |
| 49 | S | S | N | $Y$ | S | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 9 |

## Profile HMMs

## Hidden Markov Models in Computational Biology <br> Applications to Protein Modeling

Anders Krogh ${ }^{1} \dagger$, Michael Brown ${ }^{1}$, I. Saira Mian ${ }^{2}$
Kimmen Sjölander ${ }^{1}$ and David Haussler ${ }^{1} \ddagger$



Review: "Profile hidden Markov models" by Eddy SR. Bioinformatics. 1998;14(9):755-63.

HMM-Profiles:
-Given an alignment, can estimate parameters
-Emission Probabilities
-Transition probabilities

- Pfam database of HMM-profiles
www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Pfam/
-Given an HMM and another sequence, can find best alignment by Viterbi (i.e. DP)
-Can iterate between those steps (EM): start with unaligned sequences and end up with an alignment and a model that represents the family

Limitations: over-fitting from small sample sizes use of priors can help
choice of model architecture, refinement weighting of sequence contributions

## Parameters obtained from an alignment

- All of the transition and emission probabilities can be obtained from the alignment just by "counting" how often each occurs
- Need a large sample size to estimate all of the parameters accurately
- Can add pseudocounts to avoid 0's - Laplace "add 1" rule is common
- Can use more complex priors (Dirichlet) that differ for different residues and even mixtures of Dirichlet priors

Find best alignment of a sequence to an HMM


Viterbi algorithm

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{j}^{M}(i)=\log \frac{e_{M_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right)}{q_{x_{i}}}+\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)+\log a_{M_{j-1} M_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)+\log a_{I_{j-1} M_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)+\log a_{D_{j-1} M_{j}}
\end{array}\right. \\
V_{j}^{I}(i)=\log \frac{e_{I_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right)}{q_{x_{i}}}+\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)+\log a_{M_{j-1} I_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)+\log a_{I_{j-1} I_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)+\log a_{D_{j-1} I_{j}}
\end{array}\right. \\
V_{j}^{D}(i)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)+\log a_{M_{j-1} D_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)+\log a_{I_{j-1} D_{j}} \\
V_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)+\log a_{D_{j-1} D_{j}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$



Flgure 8. Parts of the final globia model. The position nambers are shown in the delete states.

Find probability that a sequence is "generated" by an HMM


Figure 1. The model.

## Forward algorithm

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{j}^{M}(i)=\log \frac{e_{M_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right)}{q_{x_{i}}}+\log \left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{M_{j-1} M_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{I_{j-1} M_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{D_{j-1} M_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
F_{j}^{I}(i)=\log \frac{e_{I_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right)}{q_{x_{i}}}+\log \left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{M_{j-1} I_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{I_{j-1} I_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{D_{j-1} I_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
F_{j}^{D}(i)=\log \left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{M_{j-1} D_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{M}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{I_{j-1} D_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{I}(i-1)\right) \\
+a_{D_{j-1} D_{j}} \exp \left(F_{j-1}^{D}(i-1)\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$
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