# **Biostatistics**

#### Chapter 8 Nonparametric Statistics

Jing Li jing.li@sjtu.edu.cn

http://cbb.sjtu.edu.cn/~jingli/courses/2017fall/bi372/ Dept of Bioinformatics & Biostatistics, SJTU



Review

## Continuous outcome (means)

#### Parametric statistics

Outcomo	Are the observations co	Alternatives if the normality assumption is	
Variable	independent	correlated	violated (and small n):
Continuous (e.g. blood pressure,	<b>Ttest:</b> compares means between two independent groups	<b>Paired ttest:</b> compares means between two related groups (e.g., the same subjects before and after)	
age, pain score)	<b>ANOVA:</b> compares means between more than two independent groups	<b>Repeated-measures</b> <b>ANOVA:</b> compares changes over time in the means of two or	
	Pearson's correlation coefficient (linear correlation): shows linear correlation between two continuous variables	more groups (repeated measurements)	
		Mixed models/GEE modeling: multivariate regression techniques to compare	
	<b>Linear regression:</b> multivariate regression technique when the outcome is continuous; gives slopes or adjusted means	changes over time between two or more groups	

## Parametric Test Procedures

- I. Involve Population Parameters (Mean)
- 2. Have Stringent Assumptions (Normality)
- 3. Examples: Z Test, t Test, F test

#### Example

 You want to see if the <u>success rates</u> for two protocols is the same. For protocol I, the rates (% of capacity) are 71, 82, 77, 92, 88. For protocol 2, the rates are 85, 82, 94 & 97. Do the rates have the same probability distributions at the .05 level? Review

## Continuous outcome (means)

Parametric statistics

Non-parametric statistics

Outcomo	Are the observations co	Alternatives if the normality assumption is	
Variable	independent	correlated	violated (and small n):
Continuous (e.g. blood pressure, age, pain score)	Ttest: compares means between two independent groups ANOVA: compares means between more than two independent groups Pearson's correlation	Paired ttest: compares means between two related groups (e.g., the same subjects before and after) Repeated-measures ANOVA: compares changes over time in the means of two or more groups (repeated	Wilcoxon sign-rank test: non-parametric alternative to paired ttest Wilcoxon sum-rank test (=Mann-Whitney U test): non- parametric alternative to the ttest Kruskal-Wallis test: non- parametric alternative to ANOVA
	<pre>coefficient (linear correlation): shows linear correlation between two continuous variables</pre> Linear regression: multivariate regression technique when the outcome is continuous; gives slopes or adjusted means	measurements) <b>Mixed models/GEE</b> <b>modeling:</b> multivariate regression techniques to compare changes over time between two or more groups	<b>Spearman rank correlation</b> <b>coefficient:</b> non-parametric alternative to Pearson's correlation coefficient

#### Nonparametric Test Procedures

I. Do Not Involve Population Parameters Example: Probability Distributions

- 2. Data Measured on Any Scale (Ratio or Interval, Ordinal)
- 3. Example: Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

## Advantages of Nonparametric Tests

- I. Used With All Scales
- 2. Easier to Compute
- 3. Make Fewer Assumptions
- 4. Need Not Involve Population Parameters
- 5. Results May Be as Exact as Parametric Procedures



## Disadvantages of Nonparametric Tests

I. May Waste Information

- 2. Difficult to Compute by hand for Large Samples
- 3. Tables Not Widely Available



### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

Parametric	Nonparametric
t-test	Wilcoxon Rank Sum test (秩和检验)
$t = \frac{\left(\overline{x_1} - \overline{x_2}\right) - \left(\mu_1 - \mu_2\right)}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$ $s_p^2 = \frac{\left(n_1 - 1\right)s_1^2 + \left(n_2 - 1\right)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$	(Also known as the Mann– Whitney U-test or the Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test

## Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

- I. Tests Two Independent Population Probability Distributions
- 2. Corresponds to t-Test for 2 Independent Means
- 3.Assumptions
  - Independent, Random Samples

### Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test Procedure

Assign Ranks,  $R_i$ , to the  $n_1 + n_2$  Sample Observations

If Unequal Sample Sizes, Let  $n_1$  Refer to Smaller-Sized Sample

- 2. Sum the Ranks,  $T_i$ , for Each Sample
- **3.** Test Statistic Is  $T_A$  (Smallest Sample)

Null hypothesis: both samples come from the same underlying distribution

## Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test

 You want to see if the <u>success rates</u> for two protocols is the same. For protocol I, the rates (% of capacity) are 71, 82, 77, 92, 88. For protocol 2, the rates are 85, 82, 94 & 97. Do the rates have the same probability distributions at the .05 level?

## Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test Solution

- Ho: Identical Distrib.
- Ha: Shifted Left or Right
- = .05
- $n_1 = 4$   $n_2 = 5$

Proto	col 1	Protocol 2			
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		
71		85			
82		82			
77		94			
92		97			
88					
Rank Sum					

Proto	col 1	Protocol 2		
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
71	1	85		
82		82		
77	2	94		
92		97		
88				
Rank Sum				

Proto	col 1	Protocol 2		
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
71	1	85		
82	٦.5 🔨	82	5, 3.5	
77	2	94		
92		97		
88				
Rank Sum				

Proto	col 1	Protocol 2		
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	
71	1	85	5	
82	٦.5 🔨	82	5, 3.5	
77	2	94	8	
92	7	97	9	
88	6			
Rank Sum	19.5		25.5	

## Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test Solution

- H0: Identical Distrib.
- Ha: Shifted Left or Right
- = .05
- $n_1 = 4$   $n_2 = 5$
- Critical Value(s):

Test Statistic: T = 25.5(Smallest Sample)

# Wilcoxon Rank Sum Table (Portion)

 $\alpha$  = .05 two-tailed

			n <sub>1</sub>						
		4	4	E.	5		5		
		TL	Τ <sub>U</sub>	TL	Τ <sub>U</sub>	TL	Τ <sub>υ</sub>		
	4	10	26	16	34	23	43		
n <sub>2</sub>	5	11	29	17	38	24	<b>48</b>		
	6	12	32	18	42	26	52		
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	
n <sub>2</sub>	5 6 :	11 12 :	29 32 :	17 18 :	38 42 :	24 26 :	48 52 :	  :	

## Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test Solution

- H0: Identical Distrib.
- Ha: Shifted Left or Right
- = .05

• 
$$n_1 = 4$$
  $n_2 = 5$ 

Test Statistic: T = 25.5(Smallest Sample)

Critical Value(s):



#### **Decision:** Do Not Reject at = .05

**Conclusion:**There is No evidence for unequal distribution

#### Practice

- Street smart students drink more alcohol than book smart students?
- What is the outcome variable? Weekly alcohol intake (drinks/week)
- What type of variable is it? Continuous



Mean=1.6 drinks/week; median = 1.5



Mean=2.7 drinks/week; median = 3.0

- Is it normally distributed? No (and small n)
- Are the observations correlated? No
- Are groups being compared, and if so, how many? Two

#### Street smart (7):

#### **Run Rank Sum Test**

- Book smart values (n=13): 0000112223345
- Street Smart values (n=7): 0023356

n1,n2=7,13; two-sided P-value=0.05



#### Another example:

You work in the biostatistics department. Is the **new** R package **faster** (.05 level)? You collect the following data entry times:

<u>User</u>	<u>Current</u>	New
Donna	9.98	9.88
Santosha	9.88	9.86
Sam	9.90	9.83
Tamika	9.99	9.80
Brian	9.94	9.87
Jorge	9.84	9.84



Are the observations correlated? No

## Signed Rank Test



## Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test

- I. Tests Probability Distributions of Two Related Populations
- 2. Corresponds to t-test for Paired Means
- 3. Assumptions

Random samples; Both populations are continuous; paired samples.

## Signed Rank Test Procedure

- . Obtain Difference Scores,  $D_i = X_{1i} X_{2i}$
- 2. Take Absolute Value  $|D_i|$  and rank them (Do not count  $D_i = 0$ )
- 3. Assign Ranks,  $R_i$ , with Smallest = 1
- 4. Calculate range and mean rank for  $|D_i|$
- 5. Sum '+' Ranks (T<sub>+</sub>) & '-' Ranks (T<u>)</u>

# Signed Rank Test Computation Table

<b>X</b> <sub>1i</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>2i</sub>	Di	<b>D</b> <sub>i</sub>	R <sub>i</sub>	Sign	Sign R <sub>i</sub>
9.98	9.88	+0.10	0.10	4	+	+4
9.88	9.86	+0.02	0.02	1	+	+1
9.90	9.83	+0.07	0.07	2 2.5	+	+2.5
9.99	9.80	+0.19	0.19	5	+	+5
9.94	9.87	+0.07	0.07	3 2.5	+	+2.5
9.84	9.84	0.00	0.00			Discard
Т	otal				T <sub>+</sub> = 1	5, T <sub>-</sub> = 0

## Signed Rank Test Solution

- H0: Identical Distrib.
- Ha: Different Distrib
- α = .05
- n' = 5 (not 6; l elim.)
- Critical Value(s):



Significant if T < critical value

### **Test Statistic:**

T= smaller of  $T_+$  and  $T_-$ 

**T=0**, α = .1

**Decision:** not reject H0 **Conclusion:** 

Border line at  $\alpha$  = .1, no significant difference

#### Signed Rank Test Table (two-sides)

**Critical Values of the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test** 

	Two-Ta	iled Test	One-Tailed Test		
п	$\alpha = .05$	$\alpha = .01$	$\alpha = .05$	$\alpha = .01$	
5			0		
6	0		2		
7	2		3	0	
8	3	0	5	1	
9	5	1	8	3	
10	8	3	10	5	
11	10	5	13	7	
12	13	7	17	9	
13	17	9	21	12	
14	21	12	25	15	
15	25	15	30	19	
16	29	19	35	23	
17	34	23	41	27	
18	40	27	47	32	
19	46	32	53	37	
20	52	37	60	43	
21	58	42	67	49	
22	65	48	75	55	
23	73	54	83	62	
24	81	61	91	69	
25	89	68	100	76	
26	98	75	110	84	
27	107	83	119	92	
28	116	91	130	101	
29	126	100	140	110	
30	137	109	151	120	

# Practice

A study of early childhood education asked kindergarten students to retell two fairy tales that had been read to them earlier in the week. Each child told two stories. The first had been read to them, and the second had been read but also illustrated with pictures. An expert listened to a recording of the children and assigned a score for certain uses of language.

Is there any difference between these two education ways ?

Child	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Story 2	77	49	66	28	38	56	68	42
Story 1	40	72	0	36	55	45	51	40
Difference	37	-23	66	-8	-17	11	17	2

#### ANOVA

#### Assumption:

- Response variables are normally distributed (or approximately normally distributed)
- Variances of populations are equal
- Groups are independent

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

### Use it,

if the data are not normally distributed;

if the variances for the different conditions are markedly different;

if the data are measurements on an ordinal scale.



#### Kruskal-Wallis test

- A k-sample non-parametric test on the means (k > 2).
- Pool observations together  $N = \sum n_i$  and assign ranks to individuals.
- Compute the rank sum  $R_i$  for each sample.

$$H = H^* = \frac{12}{N(N+1)} \times \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{R_i^2}{n_i} - 3(N+1)$$

• Test statistic Chi-squares with df = (k-1)one tail prob. Compare with  $\chi^2_{k-1}$ ,

#### Step by step example of the Kruskal-Wallis test

Does physical exercise alleviate depression? We find some depressed people and check that they are all equivalently depressed to begin with. Then we allocate each person randomly to one of three groups: no exercise; 20 minutes of jogging per day; or 60 minutes of jogging per day. At the end of a month, we ask each participant to rate how depressed they now feel, on a Likert scale that runs from 1 ("totally miserable") through to 100 (ecstatically happy").

- We have three separate groups of participants, each of whom gives us a single score on a rating scale.
- Ratings are examples of an ordinal scale of measurement
- So, the data are not suitable for a parametric test.

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

#### • Here are the data

#### Rating on depression scale:

	No exercise	Jogging for	Jogging for 60
		20 minutes	minutes
	23	22	59
	26	27	66
	51	39	38
	49	29	49
	58	46	56
	37	48	60
	29	49	56
	44	65	62
mean rating	39.63	40.63	55.75
(SD):	(12.85)	(14.23)	(8.73)

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

#### • Step I, Rank all of scores

	C1 (No exercise)	C2 (Jogging for	C3 (Jogging for
		20 minutes)	60 minutes)
	23 (2)	22 (1)	59 (20)
	26 (3)	27 (4)	66 (24)
	51 (16)	39 (9)	38 (8)
	49 (14)	29 (5.5)	49 (14)
	58 (19)	46 (11)	56 (17.5)
	37 (7)	48 (12)	60 (21)
	29 (5.5)	49 (14)	56 (17.5)
	44 (10)	65 (23)	62 (22)
mean rank	9.56	9.94	18.00
(SD)	(6.25)	(6.84)	(5.09)
sum of ranks	76.5	79.5	144
(Tc)			

If two or more scores are the same then they are "tied". "Tied" scores get the average of the ranks

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

• Step 2, find "H"

$$H = H^* = \frac{12}{N(N+1)} \times \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{R_i^2}{n_i} - 3(N+1)$$

N is the total number of participants (all groups combined). We have 24 participants (3 groups of 8).

 $R_i$  is the rank total for each group.  $R_1 = 76.5$ ,  $R_2 = 79.5$ , and  $R_3 = 144$ .

 $n_i$  is the number of participants in each group. Here,  $n_1 = 8$ ,  $n_2 = 8$  and  $n_3 = 8$ .

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

Four our data

$$H = \left[\frac{12}{24*(24+1)} * \underbrace{\frac{R_i^2}{n_i}}_{1} - 3*(24+1) \right]$$
$$\frac{\frac{76.5^2}{8}}{1} + \frac{\frac{79.5^2}{8}}{1} + \frac{144^2}{8}$$

= 731.5313 + 790.0313 + 2592.0000 = 4113.5625

$$H = \left[\frac{12}{600} * 4113.5625\right] - 75 = 7.27$$

#### Kruskal-Wallis test

• Step 3, the degree of freedom

the number of groups minus one. Here we have three groups, and so we have 2 d.f.

• Step 4, assessing the significance

H is 7.27, with 2 d.f.

P<0.05

• Step 5, conclusion

There is a difference of some kind between our three groups

Table of critical Chi-Square values:

df	<i>p</i> = .05	<i>p</i> = .01	<i>p</i> = .001
1	3.84	6.64	10.83
2	5.99	9.21	13.82
3	7.82	11.35	16.27

# Summary

Nonparametric	Parametric	
Wilcoxon Rank – Sum test	Two sample t-test	
Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test	Two paired sample t-test	
Kruskal-Wallis test	ANOVA	